

**Pakistan Information Commission**  
**Government Of Pakistan**  
**Order**  
**Appeal No: 3950-09/2024**  
**Muhammad Faizan Naseer**  
**VS**  
**Islamabad Police**

**November 06, 2024** Mr. Farrukh Habib, Inspector Legal, Islamabad Police appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The public body, vide letter dated 30-09-2024, has intimated that as per the report of SHO PS Margalla, FIR No. 447/24 dated 05-07-2024 under Section 406 PPC has been registered against Taimoor Rashid. The representative of the public body was asked to confirm whether any other FIR is registered against the said person. He stated that only FIR No. 447/24 is registered.
3. An attested copy of FIR 447/24 has been provided, along with a report on the status of the case, indicating that an incomplete challan is presented before the magistrate in Islamabad on 16-10-2024 and the application for the registration of the case has been annexed with the challan, so copy of the same cannot be provided. Let a copy of the written reply be shared with the appellant.
4. Since the information furnished by the public body has been found appropriate and adequately addresses the information request, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**  
**Government Of Pakistan**  
**Order**

**Appeal No: 3926-09/2024**

**Boota Imtiaz**

**VS**

**Gujranwala Electric Power Company (GEPCO)**

November 06, 2024    None appeared on behalf of the public body. The public body vide letter dated 02-09-2024, furnished the required information, which was shared with the appellant vide notice dated 11-11-24 under RGL No. 139878995. However, no response has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**  
**Government Of Pakistan**  
**Order**  
**Appeal No: 3882-08/2024**  
**Iqbal Ahmed**  
**VS**  
**Higher Education Commission (HEC)**

**November 06, 2024** Hammad Bin Saif, Assistant Director, HEC, appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The representative of the public body has furnished a reply to the show cause notice, explaining that the absence of the public body before this Commission was not intentional and has further assured that such practice will not be repeated in the future. It is further added that the answer to the appellant's query made in the information request was sent to the appellant vide email dated 05-11-24 (copy attached). In view of the explanation furnished by the public body and the assurance given, show cause notice is withdrawn.
3. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request and the answers sent by the public body to the appellant and found that an appropriate reply has been provided to the appellant's query. Therefore, after the sharing of the said information with the appellant, no further proceedings are required. A copy of the reply furnished today by the public body be shared again with the appellant. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**  
**Government Of Pakistan**  
**Order**  
**Appeal No: 3916-09/2024**  
**Muhammad Izhar Ahmed**  
**VS**  
**Higher Education Commission (HEC)**

**November 06, 2024** Hammad Bin Saif, Assistant Director, HEC, appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The representative of the public body has furnished a reply to the show cause notice, explaining that the absence of the public body before this Commission was not intentional and has further assured that such practice will not be repeated in the future. In view of the explanation furnished by the public body and the assurance given, show cause notice is withdrawn.
3. The public body has further intimated that the information sought by the appellant has been shared with him, and a copy of the email dated 05-11-2024 has been submitted. The Commission has examined the information request and the written reply furnished by the public body and found that the response of the public body is appropriate and adequately addresses the information request. Let it be again shared with the appellant. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3936-09/2024**

**Boota Intiaz**

**VS**

**Pakistan Railways**

**November 06, 2024** None appeared on behalf of the public body. The public body, vide letter dated 20-10-2024, has furnished the required information, which has been examined and compared with the information request of the appellant. The Commission has found that the said information is appropriate and fully addresses the information request.

2. Let a copy of the information furnished by the public body be shared with the appellant. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government Of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No: 3944-09/2024**

**Malik Ammar Ali**

**VS**

**State Life Insurance Corporation of Pakistan**

**November 06, 2024** Asim Nawaz, Admin Officer, State Life Insurance Corporation, appeared on behalf of the public body.

2. The response of the public body, which was clear and direct, was shared with the appellant and he has sent a rejoinder. The Commission has examined the contents of the rejoinder and found that the public body has categorically stated that the appellant is an employee of Prime HR, which was awarded a contract by the public body for HR services. This does not make them liable for the employee issues of Prime HR, which is a private organization. In view of the categorical statement of the public body, the rejoinder is based on presumptions and as such cannot be sustained.
3. In view of the facts mentioned above, no further action is required. The appeal stands disposed of. However, if the appellant wishes to file any complaint about misstatements, he may do so before the Commission with substantial evidence. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner